UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,718	05/24/2004	Ling-Yi Liu	IFTP0003USA	3717
	7590 08/06/201 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506			UNELUS, ERNEST	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2181		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,718	LIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ERNEST UNELUS	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2010.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-11 and 13-109 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 54-82 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13-44 and 83-109 is/are rej 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	iwn from consideration.  jected.  or election requirement.  er.  a) △ accepted or b) ─ objected to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Laminer. Note the attached office	Action of format 10-102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

#### Claim rejections based on prior art

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/30/2010 has been entered.

Applicant's arguments filed 06/30/2010 with respect to claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-44, and 83-109 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### **Double Patenting**

With respect to "bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line", relying on the 'logical media unit' being a spindle motor having disc pack 118 of disc drive 106 of fig.

3, as discloses in para. 0018. of Bicknell, see claim 1 of Nguyen, which discloses, "wherein the controller of each storage component is operable to: increase a fan speed if the controller detects a temperature of an overheating disk drive over a first threshold; remove the overheating disk drive from data storage operations if the controller detects the temperature of the overheating disk drive over a second threshold after the fan speed is increased, wherein the second threshold is greater than the first threshold; and

Art Unit: 2181

temporarily <u>activating the overheating drive so that data can be evacuated from the</u> overheating disk drive to one or more properly functioning disk drives").

#### Claim rejections based on prior art

With respect to a 'logical media unit consisting of sections of said group PSDs".

This argument is not persuasive because figure 3 and paragraph 0018 of Bicknell discloses discs in the disc pack 118 of disc drive 106. The examiner is equating physical storage devices to discs (disks) and a logical media unit to a spindle motor having a disc pack 118 of fig. 3, as discloses in para. 0018. The spindle motor having a disc pack has the same functionality of a 'logical media unit'. A logical media unit is not a well-know term in the art.

With respect to a "logical media unit that is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available", see paragraph 0018 of Bicknell which discloses, "Disc drive 106 also includes a disc pack 118, which is mounted on a spindle motor (not shown) by a disc clamp 120"; similarly, see paragraph 0132 of Guha, which discloses, "the single drives described above can each be representative of a set of disk drives. This disk drive configuration could comprise RAID10 or some form of data organization that would "spread" a hot spot over many disk drives (spindles). Set of Disk Drives becoming Redundant", and paragraph 0129, which discloses to contiguously address those disk drives).

Art Unit: 2181

With regards to claims 13, 14, 86, 87, 89, and 90 "auto-on-lining mechanism to automatically bring on line one of said LMU which was previously off-line once a requisite quorum of said PSDs comes on-line" is being interpreted as to an interface like interface 192 of fig. 6 of Bicknell that's able to bring on line a disk drive having a group of disks in a spindle motor, wherein this disk drive was previously connected; see claim 1 of Nguyen, which discloses activating a disk drive.

With regards to claim 16, Meehan discloses a scanning-in mechanism ("plug-in" card, as discloses in paragraph 0014) to automatically scan in PSDs on detection of insertion of the PSDs (see paragraph 0014, which discloses, "For example, the host interface 202 may comprise a "plug-in" card that is inserted into a backplane of a computer system (e.g., server), and the Primary RAID Controller 205 may communicate with this host interface card via a cable"). The claim's language doesn't specifically discloses this 'scanning-in mechanism'.

With regards to claim 17 the limitation "for [the word 'for' is intended use. This limitation is mere statements of purpose or use or functional recitations. In re Sinex, 309 F.2d 488, 492, 135 USPQ 302, 305 (CCPA 1962) (statement of intended use in an apparatus claim did not distinguish over the prior art apparatus). If a prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use as recited in the claim, then it meets the claim. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it

meets the claim] informing the host entity when the mapping of said LMUs to host-side interconnect LUNs has changed" (see paragraph 0026, which disclose changed of mapping).

With regards to claim 32, an 'enclosure management services mechanism' is being equated to MUX 208 of fig. 8 of Bicknell. In regards to an "enclosure management service", the applicant discloses "In this embodiment, an enclosure management service (EMS) circuitry 360 is attached to the CPC 240 for managing and monitoring at least one of the following devices belonging to the storage virtualization subsystem 20: power supplies, fans, temperature sensors, voltages, uninterruptible power supplies, batteries, LEDs, audible alarms, PSD canister locks, door locks". Similarly, Bicknell discloses "The multiplexing electronics selectively opens and closes the first and second data communication paths in response to at least one control signal (such as 218 or 220) "see paragraph 0037. The electronics connection, as discloses, is power supplies]. The applicant needs to specifically disclose the EMS, as it described in the applicant's specification.

#### INFORMATION CONCERNING OATH/DECLARATION

#### Oath/Declaration

The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in **37 C.F.R. 1.63.** 

## **INFORMATION CONCERNING DRAWINGS**

## **Drawings**

The applicant's drawings submitted are acceptable for examination purposes.

Art Unit: 2181

## **REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. <u>Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-44, and 83-109</u> are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10-22, 24-27, 29, 30, 32-53, 78-87, 90-93, and 97-103 of copending application No. 10/707,871 in view of Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521).
- 3. Initially, it should be noted that the present application and Application No. 10/707,871, share one common inventor, which is Michael Schnapp. The assignee for both applications is Infortrend Technology, Inc.

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claimed subject matter in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as noted below. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- 5. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See MPEP § 804.
- 6. Claim 1 is compared to claim 1 of application 10/707,871 in the following table:

Instant Application	Application 10/707,871
1. (currently amended) A computer system comprising:	1. (currently amended) A storage virtualization computer system comprising:
a host entity for issuing IO requests;	a host entity for issuing IO requests;
an external JBOD emulation controller coupled to the host entity for emulating IO operations in response to the IO requests; and	an external storage virtualization controller coupled to said host entity for executing IO operations in response to said IO requests; and
a group of physical storage devices (PSDs) coupled to the JBOD emulation controller each through a point-to-point serial-signal interconnect for providing storage to the computer system through the JBOD emulation controller,	a group of physical storage devices (PSDs), each coupled to the external storage virtualization controller through a point-to-point serial-signal interconnect, for providing storage to the storage virtualization computer system through the external storage virtualization controller;
wherein said JBOD emulation controller defines at least one logical media unit (LMU) comprising sections of said group of PSDs, and is configured to provide a mapping that maps	wherein said external storage virtualization controller comprises: a central processing circuitry for performing said IO operations in response to said IO

Application/Control Number: 10/709,718

Art Unit: 2181

combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available, and said JBOD emulation controller performs the following functions:

# bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line;

and wherein said external JBOD emulation controller comprises

a central processing circuitry for performing said IO operations in response to said IO requests of said host entity; at least one IO device interconnect controller coupled to said central processing circuitry;

at least one host-side IO device interconnect port provided in one of said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity; and at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in one of said at least one IO device interconnect controller for

coupling to one of said PSDs

requests of said host entity; at least one IO device interconnect controller coupled to said central processing circuitry; at least one host-side IO device interconnect port provided in one of said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity; and

at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in one of said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said group of PSDs through said point-to-point serial-signal interconnect, said device-side IO device interconnect port being a serial port for point-to-point serial-signal transmission;

wherein said computer system further comprises a detachable canister attached to said external storage virtualization controller for containing one of said PSDs therein;

wherein said external storage virtualization controller is configured to define at least one logical media unit (LMU) consisting of sections of said group of PSDs, and is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available; and wherein said external SVC issues a deviceside IO request to said IO device interconnect controller, and said IO device interconnect controller re-formats said device-side IO request and accompanying IO data into at least one data packet for transmission to said group of PSDs through said device-side IO device interconnect port; and wherein a new PSD is attached to said external storage virtualization

Art Unit: 2181

controller when said external storage virtualization controller is on-line.

Claim 1 from the application 10/707,871 doesn't specifically discloses JBOD and a controller bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line.

Nguyen discloses use of a JBOD controller (see col. 5, line 42, which discloses a JBOD system and col. 7, lines 55-56, which discloses, "In one embodiment, data storage operations are in accordance with the RAID-1 or RAID-5 protocol") and wherein said JBOD emulation controller performs the following functions: bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line (relying on the 'logical media unit' being a spindle motor having disc pack 118 of disc drive 106 of fig. 3, as discloses in para. 0018. of Bicknell, see claim 1 of Nguyen, which discloses, "wherein the controller of each storage component is operable to: increase a fan speed if the controller detects a temperature of an overheating disk drive over a first threshold; remove the overheating disk drive from data storage operations if the controller detects the temperature of the overheating disk drive over a second threshold after the fan speed is increased, wherein the second threshold is greater than the first threshold; and temporarily activating the overheating drive so that data can be evacuated from the overheating disk drive to one or more properly functioning disk drives").

Application number 10/707,871 and Nguyen are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of peripheral storage.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the computer system as taught by the instant application to include a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Nguyen teaches, "Overheating storage devices within the data storage system are detected, cooled, and removed from data transfer operations, and the data is evacuated to properly functioning components.

Therefore, loss of data is avoided. Furthermore, when a problem is detected in a component, it can be placed offline before the problem is exacerbated with repeated data storage operations, perhaps extending the life of the component and of the entire system. In addition to the tremendous benefit of uninterrupted data storage with minimized risk of lost data, the serviceabilty of the data storage system is increased" (see col. 1, lines 48-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521) with Applicant number 10/707,871 for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented. The double patenting rejection is also applicable to other claims in the application; **for example**;

Constant Application	<b>Application 10/707,871</b>
----------------------	-------------------------------

Art Unit: 2181

(claim 2) The computer system of claim 1	(claim 2) The storage virtualization computer
wherein the point-to-point serial-signal	system of claim 1 wherein said point-to-point
interconnect is a Serial ATA IO device	serial-signal interconnect is a Serial ATA IO
interconnect.	device interconnect.

#### And many others

## **REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. <u>Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 13-17, 24-29, 31-35, 38-41, 43-44, 83-96, 98-100, 102, 103, 105-107, and 109</u>, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) in view of Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218) and further in view of Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521) and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761).
- 3. As per <u>claims 1, 8, and 11,</u> Bicknell discloses, "A computer system (system 100 of fig.6) comprising:
  - a host entity (Host computer of fig. 6) for issuing IO requests;

Art Unit: 2181

an external emulation controller (controller 1) coupled to the host entity for emulating IO operations in response to the IO requests (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0029) (see paragraph 0017 for emulation); and

a group of physical storage devices (PSDs) (discs in the disc pack 118 of disc drive 106, as discloses in paragraph 0018; see also figs. 3 and 6) coupled to the emulation controller each through a point-to-point serial-signal interconnect (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0019) for providing storage to the computer system through the emulation controller (see paragraph 0027), wherein

having disc pack 118 of fig. 3, as discloses in para. 0018. a logical media unit is not a well-know term in the art) comprising sections of said group of PSDs (see fig. 2 and see paragraph 0018. a group of PSD is a group of disk in a spindle):

said emulation controller defines at least one logical media unit (LMU) (a spindle motor

but fails to disclose expressly "wherein said external emulation controller includes: a central processing circuitry for performing said IO operations in response to IO requests of said host entity;

at least one IO device interconnect controller coupled to said central processing circuitry; at least one host-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity; and

at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to one of said PSDs".

Meehan discloses wherein said external emulation controller includes: a central processing circuitry (microprocessor 406 of fig. 6, as discloses in para. 0028) for performing said IO operations in response to IO requests of said host entity (see fig. 5 and para. 0028);

Art Unit: 2181

at least one IO device interconnect controller (FPGA 409 of fig. 6, as discloses in para. 0028) coupled to said central processing circuitry (see fig. 6);

at least one host-side IO device interconnect port (interface connector 410 of fig. 6) provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity (see para. 0029, which discloses "Data to be written to storage disks 401-404 would move from the host interface 411 (from the host), optionally through a primary RAID Controller (if present), through the Interface connector 410, and into the buffer RAM 407 of RAID Controller 400"); and

at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to one of said PSDs (see para. 0029, which discloses "For example, data may be transmitted between the RAID controllers and storage devices by means of an SCA or other type Interface Connector 410". See para. 0029 and fig. 6 for SAS transmission and point-to-point serial-signal interconnect).

Neither Bicknell nor Meehan specifically discloses a RAID controller being use as JBOD controller and said JBOD emulation controller performs the following functions: bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line.

Nguyen discloses a RAID controller being use as JBOD controller (see col. 5, line 42, which discloses a JBOD system and col. 7, lines 55-56, which discloses, "In one embodiment, data storage operations are in accordance with the RAID-1 or RAID-5 protocol") and said JBOD emulation controller performs the following functions:

Art Unit: 2181

bringing the LMU on line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, and taking the LMU off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line (relying on the 'logical media unit' being an interface (144 of Bicknell) for the discs in the disc pack 118 of disc drive 106, see claim 1 of Nguyen, which discloses, "wherein the controller of each storage component is operable to: increase a fan speed if the controller detects a temperature of an overheating disk drive over a first threshold; remove the overheating disk drive from data storage operations if the controller detects the temperature of the overheating disk drive over a second threshold after the fan speed is increased, wherein the second threshold is greater than the first threshold; and temporarily activating the overheating drive so that data can be evacuated from the overheating disk drive to one or more properly functioning disk drives").

Neither Bicknell, Meehan, nor Nguyen specifically discloses a logical media unit that is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available.

Guha discloses a logical media unit that is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available (paragraph 0018 of Bicknell discloses, "Disc drive 106 also includes a disc pack 118, which is mounted on a spindle motor (not shown) by a disc clamp 120"; similarly, see paragraph 0132 of Guha, which discloses, "the single drives described above can each be representative of a set of disk drives. This disk drive configuration

Art Unit: 2181

could comprise RAID10 or some form of data organization that would "spread" a hot spot over many disk drives (spindles). Set of Disk Drives becoming Redundant", and paragraph 0129, which discloses to contiguously address those disk drives).

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen, and a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Meehan teaches that a RAID stripe can be use to write data to multiple storage devices at the same time, which allows the data to be evenly written and maximize overall system performance (see paragraph 0006); Nguyen teaches, "Overheating storage devices within the data storage system are detected, cooled, and removed from data transfer operations, and the data is evacuated to

Art Unit: 2181

properly functioning components. Therefore, loss of data is avoided. Furthermore, when a problem is detected in a component, it can be placed offline before the problem is exacerbated with repeated data storage operations, perhaps extending the life of the component and of the entire system. In addition to the tremendous benefit of uninterrupted data storage with minimized risk of lost data, the serviceabilty of the data storage system is increased" (see col. 1, lines 48-58); and Guha teaches that keeping on only the drives that are in use saves power, which takes place through addressing each disk drive (see paragraph 0026).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), and Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 8, and 11.

- 4. As per <u>claims 2, 9, 84, and 93</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The computer system of claim 1" [See rejection to claim 1 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein the point-to-point serial-signal interconnect is a Serial ATA IO device interconnect (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0019).
- 5. As per claims 3, 10, 41, 43, 85, and 94, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The computer system of claim 1" [See rejection to claim 1 above], Meehan further discloses wherein the point-to-point serial-signal interconnect is a Serial-Attached (SAS) IO device interconnect (see paragraph 0029).

Art Unit: 2181

6. As per <u>claim 5</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The computer system of claim 1" [See rejection to claim 1 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said LMU are presented redundantly to the host entity on more than one host-side IO device interconnect port (see paragraph 0019, which disclose a redundancy system).

- 7. As per claim 6, the combination of Bicknell, Mechan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The computer system of claim 1" [See rejection to claim 1 above], comprises a second external JBOD emulation controller (controller 2 of fig. 6) coupled to the host entity for emulating IO operations in response to the IO requests (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0029), wherein said external JBOD emulation controller and said second external JBOD emulation controller are configured into a redundant pair (see paragraph 0019), and said LMU is allowed to be brought on line or taken off line while the JBOD emulation controller is on line (see paragraph 0019, which discloses "Disc drive 106 can preferably be removed without disturbing the operation of subsystem 100"; therefore, when the disc drive does off line, since the interface is part of it, it will also goes off line).
- 8. As per <u>claim 7</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The computer system of claim 6" [See rejection to claim 6 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said LMU can be redundantly presented to the host by both of said external JBOD emulation controllers (see paragraph 0037).

Art Unit: 2181

9. As per <u>claims 13, 86, and 89,</u> the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell and Nguyen further disclose, comprises auto-on-lining mechanism (interface 192 of fig. 6) to automatically bring on line one of said LMU which was previously off-line once a requisite quorum of said PSDs comes on-line (see claim 1 of Nguyen and paragraph 0029 and fig. 6 of Bicknell).

- 10. As per <u>claims 14, 87, and 90,</u> the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Nguyen further discloses, comprises auto-off-lining mechanism to automatically take off line one of said LMU which was previously on-line once a requisite quorum of said PSDs becomes off-line (see claim 1 of Nguyen).
- 11. As per <u>claim 15</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses, comprises determining mechanism for automatically determining when one of said PSDs has been removed or when one has been inserted (see paragraph 0019).
- 12. As per <u>claim 16</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Meehan further discloses, comprising scanning-in mechanism ("plug-in" card, as discloses in paragraph 0014) to automatically scan in PSDs on detection of insertion of the PSDs (see paragraph 0014, which

Art Unit: 2181

discloses, "For example, the host interface 202 may comprise a "plug-in" card that is inserted into a backplane of a computer system (e.g., server), and the Primary RAID Controller 205 may communicate with this host interface card via a cable").

- 13. As per <u>claim 17</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Meehan further discloses, comprising informing mechanism for (intended use language) informing the host entity when the mapping of said LMUs to host-side interconnect LUNs has changed (see paragraph 0026, which disclose changed of mapping).
- 14. As per <u>claim 24</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein a first and a second of said at least one JBOD emulation controller are configured into a redundant pair, whereby when the first JBOD emulation controller goes off line or is taken off line, the second JBOD emulation controller will take over the functionality of the first JBOD emulation controller (see paragraph 0017).
- 15. As per <u>claim 25</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, and Nguyen disclose "The JBOD subsystem of claim 24" [See rejection to claim 24 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein a host-side port of said first JBOD emulation controller and a host-side port of said second JBOD emulation controller are configured into a complementary port pair (see paragraph 0017).

Art Unit: 2181

16. As per <u>claim 26</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 25" [See rejection to claim 25 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said complementary port pair are interconnected onto a same host-side IO device interconnect (see fig. 6, which discloses one host computer)

- 17. As per <u>claim 27</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 26" [See rejection to claim 26 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said complementary port pair are interconnected together with switch circuitry (see midplane card 112 of fig. 6).
- 18. As per <u>claim 28</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 25" [See rejection to claim 25 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein each port of said complementary port pair is interconnected onto a different host-side IO device interconnect (see fig. 6).
- 19. As per <u>claim 29</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 24" [See rejection to claim 24 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein a said LMU is presented to the host entity through both said first and said second JBOD emulation controllers (see fig. 6).
- 20. As per <u>claim 31</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses

Art Unit: 2181

wherein said LMU are presented redundantly to the host entity on more than one host-side IO device interconnect port (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0037).

- As per claims 32, 98, 105, and 109, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein comprising an enclosure management services (EMS) mechanism [(MUX 208 of fig. 8), in regards to an "enclosure management service", the applicant discloses "Yet another feature of a JBOD subsystem is enclosure management services (EMS). This is an intelligent circuitry that monitors status of various enclosure devices, such as power supplies, fans, temperatures, etc. Similarly, Bicknell discloses "The multiplexing electronics selectively opens and closes the first and second data communication paths in response to at least one control signal (such as 218 or 220)"see paragraph 0037. The electronics connection, as discloses, is power supplies].
- 22. As per <u>claim 33</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 32" [See rejection to claim 32 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said EMS mechanism is of a direct-connect EMS configuration (see fig. 8).
- 23. As per <u>claim 34</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 32" [See rejection to claim 32 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said EMS mechanism is of a device-forward EMS configuration (see fig. 8).

Art Unit: 2181

24. As per <u>claim 35</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 32" [See rejection to claim 32 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein said EMS mechanism implements both direct-connect and device-forward EMS configurations (see fig. 8).

- 25. As per <u>claim 38</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port is Fibre Channel supporting point-to-point connectivity in target mode (see paragraph 0030 and fig. 6).
- 26. As per <u>claim 39 and 91</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port is Fibre Channel supporting public loop connectivity in target mode (see paragraph 0032 and fig. 6).
- 27. As per <u>claim 40</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses wherein at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port is Fibre Channel supporting private loop connectivity in target mode (see paragraph 0030 and fig. 6).
- 28. As per <u>claim 44</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell further discloses

Art Unit: 2181

wherein at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port is Serial ATA operating in target mode (see paragraph 0019).

29. As per claims 83, 88, and 92, Bicknell discloses "A method (system 100 of fig. 6) for performing JBOD emulation in a computer system having at least one external JBOD emulation controller (controller 1 of fig. 6) (see paragraph 0017 for emulation) and a group of physical storage devices (PSDs) (discs in the disc pack 118 of disc drive 106, as discloses in paragraph 0018; see also figs. 3 and 6) connected to the JBOD emulation controller (see fig. 6), the method comprising: defining at least one logical media unit (LMU) (a spindle motor having disc pack 118 of fig. 3, as discloses in para. 0018. a logical media unit is not a well-know term in the art) comprising sections of said group of PSDs (see fig. 2 and see paragraph 0018. a group of PSD is a group of disk in a spindle) by the JBOD emulation controller; receiving and parsing IO requests from a host entity by the JBOD emulation controller to perform an IO operation to access the LMU by accessing said group of PSDs through at least one device-side IO device interconnect port in point-to-point serial signal transmission (see fig. 6 and paragraph 0019);

but fails to disclose expressly "wherein said external JBOD emulation controller includes: a central processing circuitry for performing said IO operations in response to IO requests of said host entity;

at least one IO device interconnect controller coupled to said central processing circuitry; at least one host-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity; and

Art Unit: 2181

at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to a said PSDs".

Meehan discloses wherein said external JBOD emulation controller includes: a central processing circuitry (microprocessor 406 of fig. 6, as discloses in para. 0028) for performing said IO operations in response to IO requests of said host entity (see fig. 5 and para. 0028);

at least one IO device interconnect controller (FPGA 409 of fig. 6, as discloses in para. 0028) coupled to said central processing circuitry (see fig. 6);

at least one host-side IO device interconnect port (interface connector 410 of fig. 6) provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to said host entity (see para. 0029, which discloses "Data to be written to storage disks 401-404 would move from the host interface 411 (from the host), optionally through a primary RAID Controller (if present), through the Interface connector 410, and into the buffer RAM 407 of RAID Controller 400"); and

at least one device-side IO device interconnect port provided in a said at least one IO device interconnect controller for coupling to a said PSDs (see para. 0029, which discloses "For example, data may be transmitted between the RAID controllers and storage devices by means of an SCA or other type Interface Connector 410". See para. 0029 and fig. 6 for SAS transmission and point-to-point serial-signal interconnect).

Neither Bicknell nor Meehan specifically discloses a RAID controller being use as JBOD controller and wherein said JBOD emulation controller performing the following functions: while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, bringing on line one of said at least one logical

Art Unit: 2181

media unit which is not on line, and while the JBOD emulator controller is on line, taking off line one of said at least one logical media unit which is on line.

Nguyen discloses a a RAID controller being use as JBOD controller (see col. 5, line 42, which discloses a JBOD system and col. 7, lines 55-56, which discloses, "In one embodiment, data storage operations are in accordance with the RAID-1 or RAID-5 **protocol**") and wherein said JBOD emulation controller performing the following functions: while the JBOD emulation controller is on line, bringing on line one of said at least one logical media unit which is not on line, and while the JBOD emulator controller is on line, taking off line one of said at least one logical media unit which is on line (relying on the 'logical media unit' being an interface (144 of Bicknell) for the discs in the disc pack 118 of disc drive 106, see claim 1 of Nguyen, which discloses, "wherein the controller of each storage component is operable to: increase a fan speed if the controller detects a temperature of an overheating disk drive over a first threshold; remove the overheating disk drive from data storage operations if the controller detects the temperature of the overheating disk drive over a second threshold after the fan speed is increased, wherein the second threshold is greater than the first threshold; and temporarily activating the overheating drive so that data can be evacuated from the overheating disk drive to one or more properly functioning disk drives").

Neither Bicknell, Meehan, nor Nguyen specifically discloses a controller that is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available.

Art Unit: 2181

Guha discloses a controller that is configured to provide a mapping that maps combination of the sections of said group of PSDs to the at least one LMU visible to the host entity, and the at least one LMU is contiguously addressable by the host entity to which the at least one LMU is made available (paragraph 0018 of Bicknell discloses, "Disc drive 106 also includes a disc pack 118, which is mounted on a spindle motor (not shown) by a disc clamp 120"; similarly, see paragraph 0132 of Guha, which discloses, "the single drives described above can each be representative of a set of disk drives. This disk drive configuration could comprise RAID10 or some form of data organization that would "spread" a hot spot over many disk drives (spindles). Set of Disk Drives becoming Redundant", and paragraph 0129, which discloses to contiguously address those disk drives).

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as

Art Unit: 2181

taught by Nguyen, and a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Meehan teaches that a RAID stripe can be use to write data to multiple storage devices at the same time, which allows the data to be evenly written and maximize overall system performance (see paragraph 0006); Nguyen teaches, "Overheating storage devices within the data storage system are detected, cooled, and removed from data transfer operations, and the data is evacuated to properly functioning components. Therefore, loss of data is avoided. Furthermore, when a problem is detected in a component, it can be placed offline before the problem is exacerbated with repeated data storage operations, perhaps extending the life of the component and of the entire system. In addition to the tremendous benefit of uninterrupted data storage with minimized risk of lost data, the serviceabilty of the data storage system is increased" (see col. 1, lines 48-58); and Guha teaches that keeping on only the drives that are in use saves power, which takes place through addressing each disk drive (see paragraph 0026).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), and Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claims 83, 88, and 92.

30. As per <u>claims 95, 99, 102, and 106</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Meehan

Art Unit: 2181

further discloses wherein said external JBOD emulation controller is <u>adapted for</u> accommodating said group of PSDs of different serial protocols (See paragraph 0029, which discloses serial protocols such as SATA and SAS).

- 31. As per <u>claims 96, 100, 103, and 107</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Bicknell discloses wherein said group of PSDs are received in a plurality of enclosures (see figs. 3 and 6).
- 32. <u>Claims 36 and 37</u>, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) in view of Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), as applied to claim 32, and further in view of Rabinovitz et al. (US pat. 6,483,107).
- 33. As per <u>claim 36</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen and Guha discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 32," [See rejection to claim 32 above], including the enclosure management services mechanism (MUX 208 of fig. 8, as Bicknell discloses), but fails to disclose expressly wherein said enclosure management services mechanism is configured to support SES enclosure management services protocol.

Rabinovitz discloses a SES in a storage virtualization subsystem (col. 17, line 23).

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), and Rabinovitz et al. (US pat.

Art Unit: 2181

6,483,107) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of peripheral storage devices.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen, a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha, and a canister and a casing of a computer peripheral enclosure as taught by Rabinovitz.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Rabinovitz teaches that a SES allow a user to monitor the enclosure from a remote location (see col. 17, lines 29-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Rabinovitz et al. (US pat. 6,483,107) with Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) for the benefit of creating the storage virtualization subsystem to obtain the invention as specified in claims 36.

Art Unit: 2181

34. As per <u>claim 37</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Rabinovitz discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 32," [See rejection to claim 32 above] Bicknell discloses the enclosure management services mechanism, and Rabinivitz further discloses the SAF-TE, (see col. 17, line 29).

- Over Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) in view of Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) as applied to claim 8, and further in view of Watanable (US pub. 2004/0260873).
- 36. As per <u>claim 18</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen and Guha discloses, "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], including a plurality of physical storage devices (see fig. 6 of Bickell), but fails to disclose expressly comprising unique ID determination mechanism to uniquely identify said PSDs independent of their location in which they are installed in the JBOD subsystem.

Watanable discloses comprising unique ID determination mechanism to uniquely identify said PSDs independent of their location in which they are installed in the JBOD subsystem (see paragraph 0114).

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), and Watanable (US pub. 2004/0260873) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of data transfer from a host to multiple storage devices.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen, a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha, and a system and method invention to enable primary storage data or secondary storage data to be replicated such that a loss of primary or secondary data due to a concurrent or other system error might be avoided as taught by Watanable.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Watanable teaches that, "Management of the storage system on a per system group basis facilitates management as compared with per volume management, and further facilitates scripting of storage system management functions" (see paragraph 0115).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Watanable (US pub. 2004/0260873) with Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claim 18.

Art Unit: 2181

37. As per <u>claim 19</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Watanable discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 18," [See rejection to claim 18 above], Watanable further discloses wherein information used to uniquely identify each of said PSDs is stored on said PSDs (see paragraph 0114).

- 38. As per <u>claim 20</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Watanable discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8," [See rejection to claim 8 above], Watanable further discloses wherein LMU identification and configuration information is stored on the member PSDs that compose the LMU (see paragraph 0114).
- 39. As per <u>claims 21 and 30</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Watanable discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 20," [See rejection to claim 20 above] Watanable further wherein LMU identification information presented to the host entity is generated from said LMU identification information stored on the member PSDs that compose the LMU (see paragraph 0114).
- 40. As per <u>claim 22</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Watanable discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8," [See rejection to claim 8 above] Watanable further discloses wherein LMU identification information presented to the host entity is generated from information stored in a non-volatile memory in the JBOD emulation controller (see paragraphs 0065 and 0114).

Art Unit: 2181

41. As per <u>claim 23</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, Guha, and Watanable discloses "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8," [See rejection to claim 8 above] Watanable further discloses wherein LMU identification information presented to the host entity is generated as follows: from information stored in a non-volatile memory in the JBOD subsystem prior to being able to obtain LMU identification information off of the member PSDs and from LMU identification information stored on the member PSDs that compose the LMU after the member PSDs become accessible (see paragraph 0094, which discloses the assignment of the Ids to the storage device. See also paragraphs 0065 and 0114).

- 42. <u>Claim 42</u>, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) in view of Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) as applied to claim 9, and further in view of Colton (US pub. 2005/0089027).
- 43. As per <u>claim 42</u>, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, and Guha discloses, "The computer system of claim 9," [See rejection to claim 9 above], including at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port (see fig. 6 of Bickell), but fails to disclose expressly wherein at least one said host-side IO device interconnect port is ethernet supporting the iSCSI protocol operating in target mode.

Colton discloses ethernet supporting the iSCSI protocol operating in target mode (see fig. 11 and paragraph 1487, which discloses internet SCSI in an Ethernet network).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,718

Art Unit: 2181

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), and Colton (US pub. 2005/0089027) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of data transfer.

Page 34

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen, a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha, and a system and method for transferring data optically via an intelligent optical switching network as taught by Colton.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Colton teaches that "The Sun server(s) running Oracle should have a minimum of 2 high-speed SCSI disk drives to ensure adequate performance" (see paragraph 1487).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Colton (US pub. 2005/0089027) with Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US

pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761 for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claim 42.

- Claims 97, 101, 104, and 108, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776) in view of Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Rinaldis et al. (US pat. 7,107,343).
- As per claims 97, 101, 104, and 108, the combination of Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen and Guha discloses, "The JBOD subsystem of claim 8" [See rejection to claim 8 above], Meehan and Nguyen further discloses wherein said external JBOD emulation controller (a primary RAID controller, as illustrated in fig. 3 of Meehan) issues a device-side IO request to said IO device interconnect controller (a secondary RAID controller), and said IO device interconnect controller re-formats said device-side IO request and accompanying IO data into at least one data packet for transmission to said group of PSDs through said device-side IO device interconnect port (see para. 0029 of Meehan, which discloses the FPGA 409 'manipulating' data between the host and the storage devices. Manipulating is a form of 're-formatting'. The claim language is not specific as to how this reformatting is being done. See also para. 0016, which discloses 're-distributed' the data).

Neither Bicknell, Meehan, Nguyen, nor Guha discloses "wherein said data packet comprises a start segment at the beginning indicating the start of said data packet, an end segment at the end indicating the end of the data packet, a payload data segment containing actual I0 information to transmit through the SAS device-side I0 device interconnect port, and a

check data segment containing check codes derived from said payload for checking the correctness of said payload data after transmission".

Rinaldis discloses "wherein said data packet comprises a start segment at the beginning indicating the start of said data packet, an end segment at the end indicating the end of the data packet, a payload data segment containing actual I0 information to transmit through the SAS device-side I0 device interconnect port, and a check data segment containing check codes derived from said payload for checking the correctness of said payload data after transmission" (see col. 6, lines 20-25).

Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761), and Rinaldis et al. (US pat. 7,107,343) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify a disc storage subsystem that allows continued access to data stored in its Advanced Technology Architecture (ATA) disc drives in the event of a controller failure as described by Bicknell, a redundant array of independent disks (RAID) architectures, and more specifically, to a multiple level RAID architecture as taught by Meehan, a data storage system and method capable of reducing the operating temperature of the data storage system, removing any overheating storage devices from operation, reconstructing data, and evacuating data from the overheating storage devices before the devices and the data are damaged or lost systems and techniques to synchronize network configuration for a hardware accelerated network protocol as taught by Nguyen, a large number of hard disk drives that are individually controlled, so that

Art Unit: 2181

only the disk drives that are in use are powered on as described by Guha, and a low cost system for providing improved RAID 1 performance as described Rinaldis.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Meehan teaches, "In addition, a RAID 0 stripe can be written to the storage devices at the same time. This stripe allows for the data to be evenly written to the devices 120 in an attempt to maximize overall system performance" (see paragraph 0006). See also col. 2, lines 44-46 of Rinaldis, which discloses "Accordingly, the present invention provides a RAID 1 controller that is capable of operating at higher speeds than conventional RAID 1 controllers".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Rinaldis et al. (US pat. 7,107,343) with Bicknell et al. (US pub. 2003/0193776), Meehan et al. (US pub. 2004/0177218), Nguyen (US pat. 7,146,521), and Guha et al. (US pub. 2006/0129761) for the benefit of creating the computer system to obtain the invention as specified in claims 97, 101, 104, and 108.

#### RELEVANT ART CITED BY THE EXAMINER

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to establish the level of skill in the applicant's art and those arts considered reasonably pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See MPEP 707.05(c).

The following reference teaches an external emulation controller coupled to a host peripheral storage devices

## **U.S. PATENT NUMBER**

US 2002/0144044; 6,845,428; 6,178,520

#### **CLOSING COMMENTS**

Art Unit: 2181

# **Conclusion**

# a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by **M.P.E.P. 707.07(i)**:

### a(1) CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, claims 1-3, 5-11 and 13-109 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

#### **DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernest Unelus whose telephone number is (571) 272-8596. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

# **IMPORTANT NOTE**

If attempts to reach the above noted Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alford Kindred, can be reached at the following telephone number: Area Code (571) 272-4037.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PMR system, see her//pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Art Unit: 2181

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217- 91 97 (toll-free).

July 26, 2010

Ernest Unelus Patent Examiner Art Unit 2181

/E. U./ Examiner, Art Unit 2181

/Chun-Kuan Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181